Charles H. Hamon III, P.E.
4601 N. Vassallt St.
Tacoma, WA 98407
253-365-5192
chhiii@hotmal.com
Mayor Woodards
ATTN: melanic.harding@cityoftacoma.org
Tacoma Municipal Building
747 Market Steet
12th Floor
Tacoma, WA 98402
Cc: Tacoma City Council Members (multiple emails)City of Tacoma Chief of Police Don Famsdell (no email);City of Tacoma iNeighborhood Traffic Calming Program ATTN: JKammerzell@cityoftacoma.org;City of Tacoma Transportation Commission ATTN: jdiekmann@cityoftacoma.org;City of Ruston Mayor Bruce Hopkins(no email);City of Ruston Chief of Police Nestor Bautista ATTN: police@rustonwa.org;
Dear Mayor Moodards, et. al,

My neighbors and I are writing this letter to inform you of the dargerous conditions that exst on 'N. Narrows D./N. Vassault St.' in Tacona. The residents of 'N. Narrows Dr./N. Vassault St. (undersigned)are fed up with speeding zars and are insisting thatboth visual and physical tarriers to speeding be installed as soon as possble.

Last week alone, there were two inciderces on our street worth moting. On, Thursday night (August $13^{\text {th }}, 2020 @ 11: 59$ PM), two cars startled me out of bed as they vent speeding by my house in excess of 100 nph . The second car blew a tire somewhere near ny house; veered off the road; sheared a street light pole in half; yarded the light pole's foundation out of the ground; skic through a neighbors' driveway (a few doors down); took out a very large bush; and settled in a grove of trees that were only feet away from thenext neighbors' roof line! We are puzzled as to why the driver wasn't taken away in handcuffs. Ne thought terrorizing a neighborhood was a crimel Instead the www.govme.org accident search turns up "Unknown Driver Distraction" as the circumstance-which we find laughable

If that were not enough ... the very nest night, Saturday (August $15^{\text {th }}, 2020 @ 1: 45$ AM), arother pair of cars (more than likely the other car from the night before .. . now in a second race [or rematch]) wole me up out of bed as they sped past once again. A couple minutes later, a half
dozen cars ("zroupies" \& "onlookers") :hased after the two race's. All this was happeningless than 30 feet from my childrens' bedrooms. All I could think about wai how insignificant the $2 x<s$ in $m y$ walls would te at stopping a vehicle triveling over 100 mphs . Needless to say, I couldn't seep the rest of the night.

The resident! of 'N. Narrows Dr./N. Vassault St.' would love to dismiss the drag racing as aone-time occurrence. Jnfortunately, we can't. Speeding has long been a Jroblem on our street. The most notable racing accident to occur on our street was in 2010 (https://wwv.king5.com/article/news/local/1-killed-2-injured-in-high-speed-tacoma-crash/281332677881 ). Where one man was killed and two were critically injured when the vehicle vent airborne off the hill between N. $46^{\text {th }}$ and N. 48th; slammed into a parked a pickup truck; ard ricochet across the road. All three passengers were ejected from the vehicle. The driver vas ejected straight into a tree.

There are numerous incidents to pointto that say this street hasa serious problem. It has noticeably gctten worse since I first moved here in 2007. Undoubtedly, the latest up tic can be attributed tothe increased popularity and traffic volume causedby the Point Ruston devebpment. It may also be exacerbated by the fact :hat the Ruston police no longer (or at least not as often) stake out at the bottom of the hill nearthe $\mathrm{N} .49^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. [so I'm told].

We (the undersigned) believe it is timeto implement real change on our street to make ths street safer. Additional law enforcement alone will not likely solve thissituation. Speed bumps, traffic lights, roundabouts, and cameras all have the potential to curb this problem. But, it is ouropinion that the physcal deterrents like speed bumps or roudabouts arethe only surefire way to stop the speeders. W? do not want to wait for mnother fatality before sonething is done. And, wecertainly don't want tc become casualties of an accident sitting in our own homes.

Given the obrious danger that this situation poses to us, our families, and the community at large; and, given how simple it would be to remedy this situation, we (ihe undersigned) feel thatfailure of the City to irplement changes would varrant gross negligence. We are pleading with youto help solve this prcblem once and for all.

Sincerely,

The Resident; of 'N. Narrows Dr./N. Vassault St.' (undersigned)


[^0]'N. Narrows Dr./N. Vassault' Traffic \& Racing Problem


[^1]joneromeyalua coni
'N. Narrows Dr./N. Vassault' Traffic \& Racing Problem August 18, 2020

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cnun } \\ & t \end{aligned}$ | House Number | Printed Name | Preferred Solution |  |  |  |  |  | tmall address | SIgrature$y 6 x+2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Traffic Cameras |  | utner (Specify) |  |  |
| 58 | 4508 |  | $\chi$ |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | 4502 N 45th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | 6302 N 45th 4418 | VIrmowe | $\checkmark$ |  | $V$ |  |  |  | leduling osgmanl | $\xrightarrow{2}$ |
| 62 | 4412 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 | 4408 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64 | 4402 | Seusa Jhunde | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | Patioi | teresa7107460 | on Secesa Nuntre |
| 65 | 4248 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |
| 00 | 4242 | Thatax Cenivit sonrling | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Aáaition potries | rdsparling@comcast.nell | Hierow Sexleis |
| 67 | 4236 | Guryy hobera Karsox | $\checkmark$ | / | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | Arlarsomauamailét | Goberta Mi Karsox |
| 68 | 4232 | Rich: Chepea Valesez | 7 | 1 | / | / | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cop Speed } \\ & \text { tRAp } \end{aligned}$ | RLUaluder 72 己Gumaticom | $12.1 \square$ |
| 69 | 4226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 | 4220 | Pandal Heismand | , | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | late nignt gitols | rheistand@gmail.com |  |
| 71 | 4314 | $\text { Aobck } \left.M_{1} 1\right) \text { en }$ | $V$ |  |  |  |  |  | bobmillenイ7atwotm |  |
| 72 | 4210 | Chad Litter | - | 1 | / | , | , |  | c Littler (efos) Cmail | (1) Lad Litho |

$<1>$ Assuming the obvious options at N. $37^{\text {th }}$ St. and/or N. $46^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$.
<2> Assuming one hump between $\mathrm{N} .26^{\text {th }}$ St. and $\mathrm{N} .37^{\text {th }}$ St. AND multiple humps between $\mathrm{N} .46^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$, and $\mathrm{N} .46^{\text {th }}$ St.
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|  |  |  | Preferred Solution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coun $t$ | House Number | Drinted Name |  |  |  | Traffic Caneras |  | nther (Specify) | Fmail Address | Signature |
| 73 | 4202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74 | 4044 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 | 4038 | Cobert Dunhar |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { straight } \\ & \text { away? } 106 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Jonotrin@hotnail | $\because A$ |
| 70 | 4032 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 | 4026 | Nena Curver |  |  | 1 |  |  | pat rois | nemarcurlg@hatmailsa |  |
| 78 | 4020 | , |  |  |  |  |  |  | comar ur go |  |
| 79 | 4014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | 4008 | VARVALA Crammed |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | PAPR:L | Var vava. Basargin gmai | cus sifgamonel |
| 81 | 4002 | Davidかease |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | i) Nicuse@yakov.cold | $2 \text { ज्ट is } \mathrm{C} \text { anw }$ |
| 82 | 3844 | Rachel Mclain |  |  |  |  |  |  | malainvacomcasto |  |
| 83 | -3838-3832 | KENETH MORSE | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | V | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | Pemieth Noxa |
| 84 | -3832 38.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | 3826 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86 | 3820 | Penne, Craber | $v$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ |  | brimina (a) yation con | P-wnis-wal... |
| 87 | 3814 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  | Preferred Solution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coun | House Number | Drinted Name |  |  |  | Traffic Caneras |  | Other （Specify） | Email Address | Sisnature |
| 103 | 3402 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 | 3330 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105 | 3324 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 3510 | BOR MUMEAVE | $J$ | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $J$ |  | Euc＜97203AOhLON | Et. Welly de. |
| 107 | 3308 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 | 3302 | Leslee Eicher |  |  |  |  |  |  | eicher．lestee＠gmail．con | Zusfeem Eicher |
| 109 | 3232 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | eicherates |  |
| 110 | 3220 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 111 | 3216 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 112 | 3202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113 | 3132 | Brittany Brelves |  | x |  | X |  |  | Brattanyabrewer＠yahot | can 10100 |
| 114 | 3124 | $\angle E R A L D S$ FABRE <br> TERESA FIHBRE | $x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |  | terrygerryfehotnail． | eom vears facreyt |
| 115 | 3116.3110 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILTGN BLE(wでS\} } \\ & \text { JUDY BLELWL } \end{aligned}$ |  | X | $\dot{x}$ |  | $X$ |  | TUDMILOCOMCAST．Nzt | $\text { N Th } \text { low is }$ |
| 116 | 31403116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 117 | 3108 | Donald Leask Katherive Leask | X | X |  |  |  |  | Car partscramierconnect．前atherithe heark com | Kaithevine A．Keask |
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|  |  |  |  |  | Pref | ferre | ed So | tion |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coun $\tau$ | House rnumber | Printed Name |  |  |  | 范 |  | Other (Specify) | Email Address | Signature |
| 118 | 3106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 119 | 3104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 | 3102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 121 | 3024 | PEAN if |  |  |  |  |  |  | duteesonotman .com |  |
| 122 | 2909 | Cothe | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | C1H0 |
| 123 | 6436 N. $30{ }^{+4}$ | Krisilna | $<$ |  |  |  |  |  | kristinamooneu uhot,rail |  |
| 124 | 3001 | Mattre Ots |  |  |  |  |  |  | matatenbahofamal.ien |  |
| 125 | 3009 |  |  |  | , |  |  |  | , | $V$ |
| 126 | 3015 | Gincla | $<$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | No.nys |
| 127 | 3021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 128 | 3029 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 129 | 3101 | $\text { Cathy } M$ |  |  | $X$ |  |  | $\operatorname{atr} 1$ | Cmeleod Oharborn | ic com |
| 130 | 3109 |  |  | $X$ | X |  |  |  | 1 orevic variseau |  |
| 131 | 3115 | $A_{\text {PN M }}$ | $x$ |  | $x$ |  |  |  | drachavejovertocion | $\text { Cel }<1$ |
| 132 | 3123 | Mik'r La | $x$ | + | + | $x$ | $x$ |  | Erispence qharbornet.Ce |  |

$<1>$ Assuming the obvious options at $\mathrm{N} .37^{\text {th }}$ St. and/or N. $46^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$.
<2> Assuming one hump between $\mathrm{N} .26^{\text {th }}$ St. and $\mathrm{N} .37^{\text {th }}$ St. AND multiple humps between $\mathrm{N} . \mathbf{4 6}^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$, and $\mathrm{N} .46^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$.
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$<1>$ Assuming the obvious options at N. $37^{\text {th }}$ St. and/or N. $46^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$.
<2> Assuming one hump between N. $\mathbf{2 6}^{\text {th }}$ St. and $\mathrm{N} .37^{\text {th }}$ St. AND multiple humps between $\mathrm{N} . \mathbf{4 6}^{\text {th }}$ St, and $\mathrm{N} .46^{\text {th }}$ St.

| Count | House rnumber | Printed Name | Preferred Solution |  |  |  |  |  | Email Address | Signature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Traffic Caneras |  | Other (Specify) |  |  |
| 163 | 3921 | Desisica |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | mirouchasamailicom | Disvia Roack |
| 164 | 3925 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 165 | 4001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1cc | 4009 |  |  |  | V | $V$ | V | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ratrie } \\ & \text { almin } \end{aligned}$ | lescliane@gmail.com | kesliruolhrich |
| 167 | 4013 | selng | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | Ltrung@cmail.com | Besch |
| 168 | 4019 | orary 6 m Woodro |  |  |  |  |  |  | WOUDKiow, michellessf |  |
| 169 | 4025 | hris |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  | $1=f 1 x$ aliciemon | chusvillonueve (dibs | globel.net |
| 170 | 4101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| 171 | 4109 | Annin? | $x$ | $1$ | $x$ |  |  |  | awilvue puel JuบT |  |
| 172 | 6216 N 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 173 | 4201 | Kristir | X | X | $\chi$ | X |  |  | Kristinelmer@iclaid coin | Histamineme |
| 174 | 4207 | Kranine | $\checkmark$ | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ |  | WeronicaCervinehotmini | com |
| 175 | 4215 | $1 \angle \text { wes }$ | $\checkmark$ | $L$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | shus |
| 176 | 4217 | $J_{\text {amag }}(\mathrm{G}$ | $\triangle$ | , | \} | $\backslash$ | , |  | j@ipquater.com |  |
| 177 | 4223 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

<1> Assuming the obvious options at N. $37^{\text {th }}$ St. and/or N. $46^{\text {th }}$ St.
<2> Assuming one hump between $\mathrm{N} .26^{\text {th }}$ St. and N. $37^{\text {th }}$ St. AND multiple humps between N. $97^{\text {th }}$ St and $\mathrm{N} .46^{\text {th }}$ St.

|  |  |  |  |  | Pref | ferr | S So | olution |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coun <br> t | House Number | Printed Name | 解 |  |  |  |  | nther (Specify) | Fmail Address | Signature |
| 178 | 4231 | Fredeurak Buth | Y | $x$ |  |  |  |  | Serenti som of (ब) Mail | San Excect |
| 179 | 4235 | Bil HAtamerk | X |  | X |  | X |  | BUHARDWic e ehutmail | cllll |
| 180 | 4241 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 101 | 4245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 182 | 4401 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 183 | 4411 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 184 | 4419 | ESIHER MBAJAH | X |  | , | X |  |  | ewaore@aol.com | thesal |
| 185 | 4501 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 1 |
| 186 | 4507 | Mrucforear Mayford | ' |  |  |  |  |  | Ratindan yevermorqicum | पभtiou thith |
| 187 | 4513 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 188 | 6214 N 46th | BManaraPace | $x$ | X | X |  |  | Additichal patrol | bri.pace eogmailcom | Bolm łh |

<2> Assuming one hump between $\mathrm{N} .26^{\text {th }}$ St. and $\mathrm{N} .37^{\text {th }}$ St. AND multiple humps between $\mathrm{N} .46^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$, and $\mathrm{N} .46^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$.
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$<1>$ Assuming the obvious options at N. $37^{\text {th }}$ St. and/or N. $46^{\text {th }}$ St. 714
<2> Assuming one hump between $\mathrm{N} .26^{\text {th }}$ St. and N . $37^{\text {th }}$ St. AND multiple humps between $\mathrm{N} .46^{\text {th }}$ St, and $\mathrm{N} .46^{\text {th }}$ St.

## ADDENDUM 1: Topics Needing Additional Emphasis

These are several takeaways from my discussions with neighbors which require additional emphasis. They are:

1. This neighborhood complaint has nearly $100 \%$ backing from the residents of 'N. Narrows Dr./N. Vassault St.'. If that is not enough, additional support can be found on the neighboring blocks which I did not visit. The speeding and traffic problem on the 'N. Narrows Dr./N. Vassault St.' affects all who travel it or have to cross it-whether by car, bicycle, foot, paw, or hoof. I believe that a comprehensive survey of all of the surrounding blocks could easily gather as much as four times the level of support that I am able to prove at this time.
2. The problem of drag racing is emphasized in the letter, but there is a more general problem with speeding. The average car traveling this street is well over the speed limit. It is obvious that many have figured out that 'N. Narrows Dr./N. Vassault St.' is the back entrance to Point Defiance, Point Ruston, and the Point Defiance Ferry Terminal. This "back entrance" comes with the added bonus of zero traffic lights—unlike State Route 163 (a.k.a. Pearl St.). The neighbors of 'N. Narrows Dr./N. Vassault St.' want to emphasize that State Route 163 is a State Highway and should [because of its unique construction and setbacks] retain a bulk of the traffic heading to the point(s). Second inline to SR163 should be Ruston Way, but we probably don't need to educate you on the congestion nightmare that has become.
3. With respect to speed humps, the table of signatures, which lists "preferred solutions," assumes "... one hump between N. $26^{\text {th }}$ St. and N. $37^{\text {th }}$ St. AND multiple humps between $N .37^{\text {th }}$ St. and $N$. $46^{\text {th }}$ St.". As several homeowners pointed out, that does not address problems North of N. $46^{\text {th }}$ St. For that reason, additional speed humps North of N. $46^{\text {th }}$ are probably a good idea e.g. one around N. $49^{\text {th }}$ St. and one around N. $50^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. Ct.
4. Again, with respect to speed humps, the residents of 'N. Narrows Dr./N. Vassault St.' are anticipating a knee-jerk response from the City that the solutions we are proposing would not be allowed because 'N. Narrows Dr./N. Vassault St.' is considered to be an arterial frequented by emergency medical vehicles, etc. [or something to that effect]. We will not accept this as a response. These vehicles are for one . . . designed to hop curbs if need be, would be able to easily navigate properly design roundabouts or speed humps, and would have negligibly impacted response times due to a couple of roundabouts and a handful of speed humps.
5. Although the focus of our complaint is traffic and speeding, the intersection at N. $46^{\text {th }}$ and Vassault St. has been particularly dangerous over the years. There are a couple of theories about this intersection. The first theory which I believe has some merit is that the evening sun (setting in the West) is blinding to many vehicles traveling westbound on N. $46^{\text {th }}$ St. The blinding sun and lack of painted lines on the roadway have caused many vehicles to completely miss the
stop sign coming up the hill over the years. This same problem would exist for a traffic light at this intersection. The second theory is that the hill North of this intersection makes it hard for the East-West traffic to see the Southbound traffic. Cars are described as "appearing out of nowhere."
6. The intersection at $\mathrm{N} .51^{\text {st }} \mathrm{St}$. has a sordid past. It now has a 4-way stop; but, it still continues to be a popular spot for "donuts", "burn-outs", and near misses due to drivers blowing through the stop signs. According to the homeowners at this intersection, the stop sign for Northbound traffic is often never seen or at least seen too late. The location of this stop sign is less than ideal. It is offset from the street by one bike lane, one parking spot, and a sidewalk. Just guessing, but that's like 12-15 ft from the road!
7. I'm told there has been at least one bad accident at the new crosswalk connecting 'Stoneridge at the Park' to Vassault Park. The common complaint I heard about this crosswalk was that it would have been better [for vehicles] had the crosswalk been placed at the intersection of N . $37^{\text {th }}$ St.—instead of where it is today. After just having passed N. $37^{\text {th }}$ St. (traveling Southbound), or while preparing for the intersection at N. $37^{\text {th }}$ St. (traveling Northbound), drivers are often surprised by the location of the crosswalk. And, doubly surprised if a pedestrian is standing on the sidewalk waiting to cross.
8. Although it is not our primary complaint, vehicle and motorcycle noise is a problem on our street. The City has noise ordinances. We expect them to be enforced. I am an Engineer by training. I can assure you that there are vehicles and motorcycles generating 110-120 dB going down our street. We are hoping that by addressing the speeding concerns the noise will be somewhat mitigated.

## ADDENDUM 2: Survey Results Summary

This Addendum 2 briefly summarizes the results of the survey which accompanied this complaint letter. Addendum 2 Figure 1 (below) shows a map of the roadway which is the subject of this complaint. This same stretch of roadway is the region which the complaint letter was routed for signatures and which the survey results are applicable to.

The most relevant statistics from this survey are presented in Addendum 2 Figure 2 (on the following page).

Tabular results from the survey have been provided in Addendum 2 Table 1.
The popularity of the different proposed solutions to this problem have been shown side-by-side (graphically) in Addendum 2 Figure 3. This chart shows clearly the top three most popular solutions to this problem (starting with the most popular) are speed humps, roundabouts, and traffic lights.


Addendum 2 Figure 1: Area Associated with this Neighborhood Complaint

## Number of single family homes having

 property adjacent N. Narrows/N. Vassault St. (from N. $26^{\text {th }}$ St. to N. $51^{\text {st }}$ St.) ...
## 211

Number of households that answered the door to a man wearing a face mask ... in the middle of a pandemic ...

## 116

Number of households that were very concerned about traffic and speeding on our street and signed my letter ...

## 115

Number of households which did not sign my letter (I believe there was a language barrier which contributed to this) ...

$$
1
$$

Percent of households surveyed who want the City to make immediate changes on our street ...
99.1\%

Percent of households preferring more than one solution be used/implemented to solve this problem ...
91.0\%

## Addendum 2 Table 1: Survey Summary Data

| Page of Letter |  |  |  | <乙> sdunh pəəds | seдәшеכ ગ!ffe» |  | Addtl Tickets/Patrols/Traps |  | (ue!pow/spue\|s| u! se) su!w|eכ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 of 17 | 13 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  | 13 |
| 4 of 17 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 5 of 17 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 6 |
| 6 of 17 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 7 |
| 7 of 17 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 4 |  |  |  | 9 |
| 8 of 17 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 5 |
| 9 of 17 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 4 |  |  |  | 6 |
| 10 of 17 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 11 of 17 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 9 |
| 12 of 17 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  | 8 |
| 13 of 17 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 7 |
| 14 of 17 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 9 |
| 15 of 17 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 5 |
| 16 of 17 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 7 |
| 17 of 17 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |
| Subtotals | 115 | 67 | 77 | 85 | 61 | 47 | 28 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 105 |
| Percentage of Households Surveyed |  | 58\% | 67\% | 74\% | 53\% | 41\% | 24\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 91\% |

$<1>$ Assuming the obvious options at N. $37^{\text {th }}$ St. and/or N. $46^{\text {th }}$ St.
$<2>$ Assuming one hump between N. $26^{\text {th }}$ St. and N. $37^{\text {th }}$ St.; multiple humps between N . 37th St. and N. $46^{\text {th }}$ St.; and, (per neighbor recommendations) multiple humps between N . 46th St. and N. 51st St.

## Preferred Solutions

(115 Households Along N. Narrows Dr./N. Vassault St.)

$<1>$ Assuming the obvious options at N. 37th St. and/or N. 46th St.
<2> Assuming one hump between N. 26th St. and N. 37th St.; multiple humps between N. 37th St. and N. 46th St.; and, (per neighbor recommendations) multiple humps between N. 46th St. and N. 51st St.

Addendum 2 Figure 3: Survey Summary Chart


[^0]:    <2> Assuming one hump between $\mathrm{N} .26^{\text {th }}$ St. and $\mathrm{N} .37^{\text {th }}$ St. AND multiple humps between $\mathrm{N} .96^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$, and $\mathrm{N} .46^{\text {th }}$ St. *3-speed hump North ot 4 qtast

[^1]:    $<1>$ Assuming the obvious options at N. $37^{\text {th }}$ St. and/or N. $46^{\text {th }}$ St.
    <2> Assuming one hump between $N .26^{\text {th }}$ St. and $N .37^{\text {th }}$ St. AND multiple humps between $N . A 6^{\text {th }}$ St, and $N .46^{\text {th }}$ St.

[^2]:    $<1>$ Assuming the obvious options at N. $37^{\text {th }}$ St. and/or N. $46^{\text {th }}$ St.
    <2> Assuming one hump between $N .26^{\text {th }}$ St. and N. $37^{\text {th }}$ St. AND multiple humps between $\mathrm{N} .46^{\text {th }}$ St, and $\mathrm{N} .46^{\text {th }}$ St.

[^3]:    ＜1＞Assuming the obvious options at N． $37^{\text {th }}$ St．and／or N． $46^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ ．
    ＜2＞Assuming one hump between N． $26^{\text {th }}$ St．and N． $37^{\text {th }}$ St．AND multiple humps between $\mathrm{N} .48^{\text {th }}$ St，and $\mathrm{N} .46^{\text {th }}$ St．

[^4]:    $<1>$ Assuming the obvious options at N. $37^{\text {th }}$ St. and/or N. $46^{\text {th }}$ St. $\quad 37^{\text {iti }}$
    $<2>$ Assuming one hump between N. $26^{\text {th }}$ St. and N. $37^{\text {th }}$ St. AND multiple humps between N. $46^{\text {th }} S t$, and $N .46^{\text {th }} S t$,

